Genetic relationship of lactation persistency with milk yield, somatic cell score, reproductive traits, and longevity in Slovak Holstein cattle
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Abstract. The objective of this study was to estimate the breeding values (BVs) of lactation persistency, the test day of milk yield, the somatic cell score, reproductive traits (calving interval, days open), longevity in Slovak Holstein dairy cattle. BVs were used for the detection of relationships among the persistency of lactation and other selected traits. Data for the estimation of BVs of milk production and somatic cell score were collected from 855 240 cows. BVs for reproductive traits were estimated for 352 712 cows and for longevity for 528 362 cows. The highest correlations were confirmed between the BV of persistency and the BV of test day milk yield at 100, 200, and 305 days (−0.88, −0.65, and −0.61). Correlations between the BV of lactation persistency and the BV of somatic cell score at day 305 or the BV of somatic cell score persistency were favorable: −0.05 and −0.12, respectively. The relationship between the BV of persistency and the BV of the calving interval or the BV of days open was 0.11 and 0.10 respectively. The selection for the persistency of lactation may not improve longevity because there is no relation between the BV of persistency and the BV of longevity (r = 0.06).

1 Introduction

Breeding programs for the genetic improvement of dairy cattle should be built on four aspects: (1) increased income (higher production of milk/meat), (2) reduced costs (better fertility, fewer diseases, reduced culling rates), (3) ease of management (temperament, milking speed), and (4) advantages regarding to the sale of products (animal welfare, ethics, consumers concerns) (Bo, 2009).

For many years, milk production traits have held the leading position as important breeding goals. Research was accordingly concentrated on those factors in animal physiology and nutrition that would increase milk production at the peak of lactation rather than on the maintenance of milk secretion during the declining phase of lactation (Stefanon et al., 2002). In the period between calving and peak yield, incidences of most health problems, including mastitis, are high. At the beginning of lactation, cows are usually in a negative energy balance; therefore, they need to mobilize body reserves to meet the increased nutrient demand for milk yield (Tamminga, 2000). Therefore, breeders try, amongst other measures, to reduce the costs of production by improving the persistency of lactation (Tekerli et al., 2000).

The persistency of lactation is defined as the ability to maintain a more or less constant yield during lactation. Thus, we can circumscribe this as a function of the flatness of the lactation curve. An animal is more persistent if the lactation curve has a flatter shape (Gengler, 1996).

High lactation persistency is associated with a slow rate of decline in production, whereas low persistency is associated with a rapid rate of decline (Dekkers et al., 1998). The persistency of lactation contributes to reducing the costs of the production system because there is an association with feeding and health costs, reproductive performance, resistance to disease, and the return from milk considering a 305-day pro-
duction cycle (Dekkers et al., 1996). The effort to minimize the negative effect of selecting for milk yield on fertility and health traits may be helpful for considering the persistency of lactation (Sölkner and Fuchs, 1987).

Many countries use a diverse group of economically important traits (efficiency, health, fertility, and functional conformation) in the total merit index (TMI) to rank cattle for genetic selection. Recently, increasing attention has been focused on functional traits that are helpful for improving the management of dairy herds and for increasing the efficiency of breeding schemes. New functional traits are growing in importance because of recent declines in animal health and fitness (Egger-Danner et al., 2015). In recent years, there has been a stabilization or even an increase in genetic trends of functional traits (Miglior et al., 2012).

Relatively little research has been done to examine the genetic relationship between persistency in each lactation and other traits of importance in dairy cattle improvement. Information on the association among important traits such as fertility, longevity, persistency, and somatic cell score is lacking. Haile-Mariam et al. (2003) reported heritability and genetic and environmental correlations among the mean milk yield, persistency, the mean loge somatic cell count (LnSCC), the slope of LnSCC, the calving interval, the length of lactation, and survival in Australian Holstein Friesian dairy cattle.

Muir et al. (2004) state that there is an association between a higher non-return rate at first service in first lactation and increased persistency. Higher 305-day yields and greater persistency were associated with a longer calving interval.

Appuhamy (2006) found that primiparous and multiparous cows, which develop postpartum metabolic disorders (ketosis, milk fever, and displaced abomasum) and periparturient reproductive disorders (metritis, retained placenta, and ovarian cysts), tend to reach peak yield at later days in milk (DIM) and have flatter lactation curves for milk, fat, and protein yields. Conversely, mastitis after 100 DIM tends to produce less persistent lactations characterized by a faster rate of decline in milk, fat, and protein yields after peak production. Highest correlations between the different persistency traits and claw and leg diseases (from 0.13 to 0.46) were calculated by Harder et al. (2006). Muir et al. (2004) mention that heifers that had a difficult first calving or those that conceived successfully at first insemination in first lactation or that heifers that had a longer interval between first and second calving tended to have more persistent first lactations (r2 = 0.43, 0.32 and 0.17). Authors estimated the strongest genetic correlation between a 305-day milk yield in the first lactation and the calving interval. This indicates that a longer calving interval is associated with a higher milk yield in the first lactation (0.51 ± 0.11).

The genetic correlations between persistency and reproductive traits were confirmed by Yamazaki et al. (2014a). Therefore, when seeking to increase milk yield or persistency, indicators of female fertility have to be included in the genetic evaluation to reduce undesirable side effects on fertility in cows.

## Persistency measures

The most common mathematical model of persistency is based on Wood (1967). Other measures related to ratios between yields were defined by many authors. Sölkner and Fuchs (1987) defined persistency as the ratio between mean and peak yield. Johansson and Hansson (1940) introduced the ratio between partial yields; Ericson et al. (1988) expressed the same ratios as percentages. Other ratio methods share the same basic definitions of ratios between certain partial, peak, daily, total, or other yields (Gengler, 1996).

The procedures used nowadays to measure lactation persistency are based on the random regression test day model; the procedures have been extensively applied to the evaluation of milk production traits. The random regression test day model is the basis for the evaluation of persistency because the estimated breeding value for various parts of the lactation can be calculated (Jamrozik et al., 1997).

The objectives of this study were to estimate the breeding values (BVVs) of lactation persistency, test day of milk yield, somatic cell score, reproductive traits (calving interval, days open), and longevity in Slovak Holstein dairy cattle and to analyze the relationship between the persistency of lactation and other selected traits.

## 2 Material and methods

### 2.1 Estimation of breeding value for milk yield and somatic cell score

Data for the estimation of breeding values of all aforementioned traits were obtained from the Slovak Holstein Association and from the results of dairy herd milk recording in the Slovak Republic performed by Slovak Breeding Services, S.E.

The genetic evaluation of the daily milk yield was performed for 12,278 sires and 855,240 cows. The pedigree file (minimum three generations) contained in total 250,4397 animals.

The following single-trait random regression test day BLUP animal model was used to estimate breeding values.

\[
y_{ijkl} = HTD_{il} + \sum_{m=1}^{5} a_{klm} z_{klm} + \sum_{m} 1^{5} b_{jlm} z_{jlm} + p_k + e_{ijkl},
\]

where \(y_{ijkl}\) is daily milk (kg); \(HTD_{il}\) is the fixed effect of the herd test day; \(a_{klm}\) is the random animal effect (random regression coefficients); \(b_{jlm}\) is the fixed effect of breeding type, age, and season (fixed regression coefficients) (four breeding type groups, three age groups, and two season
groups); and \( z_{jlm} \) is the coefficient-described lactation curve (Ali and Schaeffer, 1987) calculated as

\[
z_{jlm} = (1c e^2 \ln(1/c) \ln(1/c))^2, \tag{2}
\]

with \( c = \) lactation days/305,

where \( p_{il} \) is the permanent random environmental effect and \( e_{ijklm} \) is the random residual effect.

Nowadays this model is used for the national genetic evaluation system of production traits and somatic cell score.

The random regression test day BLUP animal model is based on the estimation of the breeding value of lactation persistency (BV_per). A formula described by Jamrozik et al. (2001) was used:

\[ \text{BV}_{\text{per}} = 110 \times (\text{bv}_{280} - \text{bv}_{60}). \tag{3} \]

where \( \text{BV}_{\text{per}} \) is the breeding value of lactation persistency, \( \text{bv}_{280} \) is the breeding value of milk yield on the 280th day in milk (DIM), and \( \text{bv}_{60} \) is the breeding value of milk yield on the 60th DIM.

The same models (test day of milk yields and persistency of lactation) were used for the estimation of BV for somatic cells. In order to maintain a normal distribution of data, the somatic cell count (SCC) was transformed to somatic cell score (SCS) as follows:

\[ \text{SCS} = \log(2(\text{SCC}/100) + 3). \tag{4} \]

where SCC is the somatic cell count per milliliter.

For the milk yields and somatic cell score the genetic parameters from the national genetic evaluation system were applied \((h^2 = 0.309, \text{ and } h^2 = 0.212).\)

### 2.2 Estimation of breeding value for reproductive traits

The data for a genetic evaluation of reproductive traits consisted of 756 512 records of 352 712 Holstein cows until the fifth lactation. Evaluated cows were born during the years 1995 and 2011. The length of productive life was calculated as the number of days from the first calving until culling or censoring. Animals with an unknown sire and culling day, with more than five lactations, and those sold for other purpose were considered as censored records.

The software Survival Kit v6.0 (Ducrocq et al., 2010) was used to estimate the breeding values for the length of functional productive life. The survival was analyzed using a Weibull proportional hazard sire model:

\[ \lambda(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp(pl + m + hs + age + hys + s), \tag{7} \]

where \( \lambda(t) \) is the hazard function (instantaneous probability of culling) for a given cow at time \( t \); \( \lambda_0(t) \) is the Weibull baseline hazard function; \( pl \) is the fixed time-dependent effect of the parity \((n = 5); m \) is the fixed time-dependent effect of the milk production class, expressed as a standard deviation (SD) from the average within the herd year (six classes); \( hs \) is the fixed time-dependent effect of the variation of herd size expressed as an increase (+) or decrease (−) in the number of cows in comparison with the previous year (six classes); \( age \) is the fixed time-independent effect of the age at first calving (five classes); \( hys \) is the random time-dependent effect of the herd × year × season interaction, following a log-gamma distribution \((n = 23 \, 618); \) and \( s \) is the time-independent random effect of the sire of the cow, assumed to follow a multivariate normal distribution.

Pedigree included 4799 sires and the total bull number in the pedigree was 7118.
The breeding value for functional productive life was expressed as a relative breeding value, with an average of 100 and a genetic standard deviation of 12.

\[
RBV_{\text{long}} = ((\text{eval} - a)/b) \times c + d,
\]

where \(RBV_{\text{long}}\) is the sire’s relative breeding value of longevity, \(\text{eval}\) estimates the regression coefficient (sire’s breeding value), \(a\) is the mean of the base adjustment, \(b\) is the standard deviation of the base, \(c\) is the standard deviation of expression (including sign if the scale is reversed), and \(d\) is the base of expression.

Heritability on the original scale was 0.13 (Strapaková, 2012). The statistical software SAS 9.2 and the application Enterprise Guide 4.2 (SAS, 2008) were used for the preparation of the databases. Correlations between \(BV_{\text{per}}\) and \(BV_\text{s}\) vs. other traits were calculated using the PROC CORR procedure (SAS, 2008).

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Persistency of lactation and milk yield

In this study, the \(BV_\text{s}\) of 13 063 Holstein sires were compared. The descriptive statistics for \(BV_\text{s}\) of lactation persistency and milk yield are given in Table 1. Average \(BV_\text{s}\) for milk yield at 100, 200, 300, and 305 days were negative. This is likely to be caused by a large number of bulls with few daughters.

\(BV\) for lactation persistency was positive, but the breeding value at the 280th lactation day can be higher compared to \(BV\) at the 60th day. The genetic evaluation for the specific day is not the same as phenotypic values (milk production). The evaluation of persistency is strongly influenced by the preselection of animals according to milk yields. The use of bulls with high breeding values of persistency is unusual, though the number of these bulls is high. In breeding, bulls with high breeding values for milk yields are more preferred. Jamrozik et al. (1997) claim that a good measure of persistency should be independent of lactation milk yield.

One of the characteristics of the lactation persistency is the correlation with milk yield. In this study, correlations between the \(BV\) of persistency and the \(BV\) of cumulated milk production for 100, 200, and 305 days were \(-0.88, -0.65,\) and \(-0.61\) (Table 2). Between \(BV_{300}\) and \(BV_{\text{per}}\), a correlation (0.06773) was not found as the last period of the lactation does not influence the persistency. The formulation of persistency better describes the start of lactation.

Estimated correlations were similar in sign but different in magnitude compared to the results of Cobuci et al. (2007), who reported lower genetic correlations for persistency with a 305-day milk yield (−0.45), similar to Kheirabadi and Alijani (2014) (−0.33) and Otwinowska-Mindur and Ptak (2015) (−0.55). Hickson et al. (2006) reported negative correlations of peak milk yields with three measures of lactation persistency (−0.29, −0.39, and −0.78).

On the contrary, Yamazaki et al. (2014a) found positive genetic correlations over the first three lactations (0.48, 0.41, and 0.41). The relationship between 305-day milk yield and persistency was confirmed also by Cobuci et al. (2007) and Boujenane and Hilal (2012), with values of \(r_g = 0.49\) and \(r_g = 0.89\), respectively.

#### 3.2 Persistence and somatic cell score

The genetic relationship between lactation curve traits and the somatic cell count is of great interest for dairy cattle breeding. Simple statistics of the \(BV\) of lactation persistency (\(BV_{\text{per}}\)) and \(BV_\text{s}\) of somatic cell score are given in Table 3. They are evaluated for 12 652 Holstein bulls.

The correlations between \(BV_{\text{per}}\) and the \(BV\) of somatic cell score (\(BV_{\text{s}}\)) and the \(BV\) of somatic cell score persistency (\(BV_{\text{per}}\)) were favorable, i.e. negative (Table 4). Negative correlation between lactation persistency and SCS persistency (−0.123) indicates an improvement in the persistency of lactation, with a moderate decrease in SCS.

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics for \(BV\) of lactation persistency and \(BV\) of test day milk production (kg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV_100</td>
<td>13 063</td>
<td>−74.699</td>
<td>229.636</td>
<td>−742.3</td>
<td>1147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_200</td>
<td>13 063</td>
<td>−73.963</td>
<td>241.605</td>
<td>−1059</td>
<td>1380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_300</td>
<td>13 063</td>
<td>−47.733</td>
<td>162.093</td>
<td>−1007</td>
<td>1120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_305</td>
<td>13 063</td>
<td>−196.392</td>
<td>569.097</td>
<td>−2665</td>
<td>3354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_per</td>
<td>13 063</td>
<td>54.735</td>
<td>345.470</td>
<td>−1934</td>
<td>1249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation; \(BV_{100(200, 300, 305)}\) days: breeding values for cumulated milk production; \(BV_{\text{per}}\): breeding value of lactation persistency.

### Table 2. Correlations between \(BV\) of lactation persistency and \(BV\) of test day milk production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV_100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>−0.881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.699</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>−0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_305</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.615</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>−0.615</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_per</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Descriptive statistics for \(BV\) of lactation persistency and \(BV_\text{s}\) of somatic cell score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV_per</td>
<td>12 652</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>37.567</td>
<td>−185.190</td>
<td>191.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_scs_305</td>
<td>12 652</td>
<td>3.978</td>
<td>78.452</td>
<td>−348.550</td>
<td>435.880</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(BV_{\text{per}}\): breeding value of somatic cell score persistency; \(BV_{\text{s}}\): breeding value of somatic cell score in 305 days.
Table 4. Correlations between BV of lactation persistency and BVs of somatic cell score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BV_Per</th>
<th>BV_Per_scs</th>
<th>BV_scs_305</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV_Per</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_Per_scs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_scs_305</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Descriptive statistics for RBVs of reproduction traits and BV of lactation persistency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV_Per</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>-267.569</td>
<td>302.634</td>
<td>-1444</td>
<td>750.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBV_do</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>99.995</td>
<td>11.641</td>
<td>43.142</td>
<td>156.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBV_ci</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>99.943</td>
<td>11.639</td>
<td>47.473</td>
<td>143.320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RBV_do: relative breeding value of days open; RBV_ci: relative breeding value of calving interval.

Vicario et al. (2007) state that genetic improvement of lactation persistency results in a reduction in SCS ($r_g = -0.55$) that is simultaneous with persistency of lactation. The negative average genetic correlation between daily SCS and a persistency of $-0.23$ and $-0.22$ in the first and second lactations was described by Yamazaki et al. (2013). Cole and Null (2008) found a genetic correlation of persistency with SCS yield that ranged from $-0.17$ to $-0.42$ and a correlation of persistency for milk with persistency of SCS that ranged from $-0.37$ to $-0.52$ for five cattle breeds. A negative correlation was confirmed also by Haile-Mariam et al. (2003) ($-0.29$). It follows that cows with a high cell count have a reduced persistency of milk yield.

Table 6. Correlations between RBVs of reproductive traits and BV of lactation persistency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BV_Per</th>
<th>RBV_do</th>
<th>RBV_ci</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV_Per</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0002</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBV_do</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBV_ci</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Descriptive statistics for relative breeding value of longevity and BV of lactation persistency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBV_long</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>100.348</td>
<td>12.528</td>
<td>61.934</td>
<td>162.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_Per</td>
<td>1585</td>
<td>-202.332</td>
<td>332.804</td>
<td>-1328</td>
<td>799.900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RBV_long: relative breeding value of longevity.

Lean et al. (1989) state that increasing lactation persistency does not improve the conception rates. They declared that cows with higher than average peak milk yields were less likely to conceive with one or two services.

The correlation coefficient between the BV of persistency and the RBV of days open (0.103) (Table 6) was in accordance with a study of Yamazaki et al. (2014b) and Muir and Schaeffer (2003).

Table 8. Correlations between BV of persistency and RBV of longevity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RBV_long</th>
<th>BV_Per</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBV_long</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BV_Per</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Persistency of lactation and reproductive traits

Reproduction is an important factor in determining the efficiency of animal production. Poor reproductive performance leads to economic losses due to reduced production, a prolonged calving interval, and additional costs. In this study the relationship of the RBV of the calving interval and days open with the BV of persistency of lactation of 1296 Holstein sires (Tables 5, 6) was analyzed.

The correlation between persistency and calving interval was 0.112, which is in accordance with Muir et al. (2004) ($r_g = 0.17$). Haile-Mariam et al. (2003) found a correlation close to 0 ($-0.02$), whereas Muir and Schaeffer (2003) reported positive and moderate correlations (0.34 on the first and 0.40 on the second lactation). Thus, the longer interval from the first to second calving corresponds to the higher persistency in the first two lactations. The longer calving intervals can be viewed as undesirable; therefore, genetically an antagonistic relationship exists between persistency and reproductive performance.

3.4 Persistency of lactation and longevity

For many years the genetic improvement of dairy cattle was based almost exclusively on increased production per cow. However, many functional traits have negative genetic correlations with milk yield, and a reduction in genetic merit for health and fitness was detected. Herd management has faced with the challenge of compensating for these effects and of balancing fertility, udder health, and metabolic diseases with increased production to maximize profit without compromising welfare (Egger-Danner et al., 2015).

The length of productive life is probably the most important trait in cattle breeding. The longer animals remain productive in a herd, the fewer replacements are needed. The RBV of longevity and the BV of lactation persistency were estimated for 1585 Holstein bulls. Descriptive statistics are given in Table 7.
The correlation between the BV of persistency and the RBV of longevity was 0.06 (Table 8), which is in accordance with Haile-Mariam et al. (2003), who found that genetic correlations near 0 (0.04). Murray (2011) confirmed the relationship of herd life with a high persistency of lactation (−0.11), i.e. high scores for lactation persistency are actually associated with a shorter herd life.

4 Conclusions

The advantages of increasing persistency in dairy cattle are indisputable on the practical herd level. More persistent lactation brings longer high-production periods. An important characteristic of the persistency measure is its correlation with the 305-day milk yield. The results of this study show that the genetic correlation between both abovementioned traits was −0.61; therefore, cows with a high genetic level for persistency would tend to have a lower genetic level for milk production. The genetic relationships among reproductive traits and persistency were low (moderate positive influence of reproduction on persistency). Correlations of the BV of somatic cell score with the BV of lactation persistency were slightly favorable, i.e. negative. A high somatic cell count can negatively affect the persistency of milk yield to a certain extent. The correlation of lactation persistency with the RBV of longevity was close to 0, meaning that there is no significant relationship.
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