Physiological differences between twin and single-born lambs and kids during the first month of life
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Abstract. The effects of time after birth and of twinning on rectal temperature (RT), heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR) and body weight (BW) values were evaluated in five singleton Comisana lambs (three males and two females), five singleton Maltese Kids (three males and two females), four couples of twin Comisana lambs (four males and four females) and four couples of twin Maltese kids (four males and four females) during the first month of life. For all kids and lambs, RT, HR, RR and BW were recorded after 1 and 24 h from birth and every 2 days until the 30th day of life. The application of two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed a statistically significant effect of time ($P < 0.0001$) on RT, HR, RR and BW values in all lambs and kids during the first month of life. Any significant effect of twinning ($P > 0.05$) on all studied parameters was found in lambs, whereas statistically significant differences in BW, RT and HR values ($P < 0.01$) were found between twin and singleton kids throughout the first month of life. The results obtained in this study make a contribution to the knowledge of homeostatic, cardiorespiratory and thermoregulatory adaptations occurring in singleton lambs and kids and in twin lambs and kids during the first 30 days of life. Our findings indicate that the BW, RT, HR and RR values, whose homeostasis is still evolving in newborn, should be interpreted dynamically as a function of the period of postnatal adaptation and also of twinning.

1 Introduction

The management of newborn livestock species from birth until weaning has an impact on herd productivity, and the economic return will depend on the survival of the offspring. Physiological immaturity is considered the major determinant of mortality and morbidity in newborn resulting in economic losses in livestock production (Dwyer et al., 2005; Stafford et al., 2007; Piccione et al., 2008, 2009, 2010). A substantial proportion of postnatal diseases or death could be prevented by good management and by early intervention and by diagnosis and treatment of situations that involve a high-risk newborn. The postnatal period, known as the adaptive period, is a critical life stage that begins at birth and extends until the 30th day of life and that reflects the ability of newborn to complete their maturation with the animal’s adaptation to extrauterine life (Piccione et al., 2007; Vannucchi et al., 2012). At birth and during the subsequent days of extrauterine life, the thermoregulatory, cardiovascular, respiratory and homeostatic mechanisms complete newborn maturation (Chniter et al., 2013).

Studies carried out on newborn calves, lambs and kids demonstrated that the respiratory, cardiovascular and thermoregulatory function of these livestock species is subjected to several adjustments following the transition from the controlled uterine environment to the free-living state (Nowak and Poindron, 2006; Al-Tamimi, 2007; Ocak et al., 2009; Piccione et al., 2010, 2013; Davey et al., 1998). These physiological functions are also influenced by the birth weight of the animal (De Matteo et al., 2008; Chniter et al., 2013), which in turn depends on fetal physiological adaptations made in response to the intrauterine conditions (De Matteo
et al., 2008). In this regard, multiple gestation is recognized as a natural cause of intruterine growth restriction leading to a decrease in birth weight of twin births compared to singleton births (de Geus et al., 2001). Although many authors have studied the differences in several physiological functions between singleton and twin offspring in human, ovine and caprine species, conflicting results were obtained (Poulter et al., 1999; Baird et al., 2001; Aleksiev, 2009). Several studies showed that triplet-born lambs have lighter birth weights, a lower body temperature and some lower plasma parameter levels than twin-born lambs, resulting in a poor thermoregulatory function during the postnatal period (Morris and Kenyon, 2004; Stafford et al., 2007). Studies comparing blood pressure or heart rate (HR) in singletons and twins have shown either no effect (de Geus et al., 2001), higher values in twins (Dwyer et al., 1999) or lower values in twin (De Matteo et al., 2008). These discordant results are likely due to uncontrolled factors between groups, including dam or offspring nutrition and a lack of differentiation between monozygotic and dizygotic twins (De Matteo et al., 2008).

Because of the importance of deepening the knowledge on adaptation processes occurring during the postnatal period in order to improve management techniques and to prevent postnatal diseases or death, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of time after birth and of twinning on rectal temperature, heart rate, respiratory rate and body weight in lambs and kids during the first month of life.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Animals

This study was carried out on five singleton (three males and two females) and eight twin (four males and four females) Comisana lambs, on the one hand, and five singleton (three males and two females) and eight twin Maltese kids (four males and four females), on the other hand, born from multiparous Comisana sheep and Maltese goats, respectively, on the same farm, situated in northeast Sicily (37°43′24″N, 13°26′05″E; 966 m above sea level).

All dams, aged 4–5 years old, were fed with a constant diet composed of a concentrate mixture which consisted of the following ingredients: oats (12%), faba bean (15%), barley (25%), pea (10%), sugar beet pulp (20%), molasses (5%), and mineral and vitamins supplements (3%). Forage-based diets were alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) hay. About 250 g animal−1 of concentrate was distributed twice a day and water was available ad libitum. Every day the dams were herded out and grazed on an improved natural pasture from 10:00 to 18:00 LT.

All lambs and kids were born at term in spring (sunrise: 05:15; sunset: 21:02) at similar gestational age (singleton lamb: 148 ± 1 days; twin lambs: 146 ± 1 days; singleton kids: 152 ± 2 days; twin kids: 153 ± 1 days). They were kept in boxes with their dam in order to guarantee adequate mothering and to minimize disruption. Thermal and hygrometric measurements were carried out inside the box for the whole study by means of a data logger (Gemini, UK), and they agreed with the normal seasonal pattern for the location (minimum and maximum temperature between 13.6 and 22.8°C, mean relative humidity of 57%). The newborn lambs and kids were considered clinically healthy. Their health status was evaluated at birth based on behavior, rectal temperature, heart and respiratory profile, cough, nasal discharge, ocular discharge, appetite, faecal consistency, navel examination, and haematological profile (data not shown). Lambs and kids were fed only with colostrum and maternal milk. Each day the lambs and kids were herded out and grazed on an improved natural pasture with their dams. At pasture they suckled freely.

2.2 Data collection

Once the lambs and kids were born, their birth data, type of birth and sex were recorded and they were allowed to suckle successfully unaided.

For all lambs and kids, rectal temperature (RT), HR, respiratory rate (RR) and body weight (BW) were recorded by the same operator after 1 (T0) and 24 (T24) h from birth and every 2 days until the 30th day of life (T2, T4, T6, T8, T10, T12, T14, T16, T18, T20, T22, T24, T26, T28 and T30).

The RT was recorded using a digital thermometer (model H192704, Hanna Instruments, Bedfordshire, UK) with the probe being inserted to a depth of 4 cm. The HR was measured by means of an oscillometric apparatus (Argus TM-7; Schiller, Barr, Switzerland). The RR was assessed visually by observation of chest and abdomen movements and auscultation with a stethoscope over a 5 min period. The BW was measured by a means of a weighing platform (PS1000 Livestock Scale, Brecknell, UK).

In our study, protocols of animal husbandry and experimentation were reviewed and approved in accordance with the standards recommended by the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments.

2.3 Statistical analysis

All data were tested for normality of distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. All data were normally distributed (P > 0.05), and statistical analysis was performed. Two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to assess the statistically significant effect of time (days of life) and of twinning on BW, RT, HR and RR values measured in lambs and kids during the first month of life. Duncan’s multiple comparison test was applied for post hoc comparison. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
Table 1. Mean ± standard deviation of body weight (BW), rectal temperature (TR), respiratory rate (RR) and heart rate (HR) values measured in twin and singleton lambs at each time point (T\(0\)–T\(30\)) of experimental period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Twin (n = 8)</th>
<th>Singleton (n = 5)</th>
<th>Twin (n = 8)</th>
<th>Singleton (n = 5)</th>
<th>Twin (n = 8)</th>
<th>Singleton (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TR (°C)</td>
<td>39.8 ± 0.1c</td>
<td>39.8 ± 0.2c</td>
<td>91 ± 1(^1)</td>
<td>91 ± 1(^p)</td>
<td>189 ± 3(^a)</td>
<td>184 ± 4(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR (breaths min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.1(^i)</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.2(^e)</td>
<td>89 ± 1(^b)</td>
<td>91 ± 2(^p)</td>
<td>183 ± 2(^a)</td>
<td>178 ± 2(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats min(^{-1}))</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.1(^d)</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.2(^e)</td>
<td>87 ± 1(^m)</td>
<td>90 ± 1(^m)</td>
<td>178 ± 2(^b)</td>
<td>178 ± 3(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance of the effect of (P < 0.0001): \(^a\) vs. T\(_1\)–T\(_8\); \(^b\) vs. T\(_9\)–T\(_10\); \(^c\) vs. T\(_11\)–T\(_15\); \(^d\) vs. T\(_16\)–T\(_18\); \(^e\) vs. T\(_19\)–T\(_21\); \(^f\) vs. T\(_22\)–T\(_28\); \(^g\) vs. T\(_29\)–T\(_30\); \(^h\) vs. T\(_24\)–T\(_25\); \(^i\) vs. T\(_26\)–T\(_27\); \(^j\) vs. T\(_28\)–T\(_29\); \(^k\) vs. T\(_30\).

3 Results and discussion

All the results were expressed as means ± standard deviation (M ± SD).

The application of two-way ANOVA showed a statistically significant effect of time (P < 0.0001) on BW, RT, HR and RR values measured in both singleton and twin lambs and in both singleton and twin kids during the first month of life. In particular, all lambs and kids showed a statistically significant increase in BW values (P < 0.0001) from birth until the end of the monitoring period and a statistically significant decrease in RT, HR and RR values (P < 0.0001) from birth until the end of the monitoring period (Tables 1 and 2). These changes reflect the physiological adjustments likely to occur in a newborn animal following transition from the controlled uterine environment to the free-living state. It has been stated that ovine and caprine newborns have high metabolic rates immediately after the birth, which may increase their body temperatures at this time (Vannucchi et al., 2012). The decrease in the RT values observed 24 h after birth may be due to a reduction in heat generation for body temperature maintenance. The observed RT fluctuations may indicate either that the thermoregulatory set point was not specified yet by the central nervous system or that the thermoregulatory mechanisms were not mature enough to maintain RT values within the already fixed set point (Aleksiev, 2009). The subsequent decrease in the RT values found in lambs and kids until day 30 of life may be related to the withdrawal of the placental inhibitors from the circulation and the initiation of nonshivering thermogenesis in brown adipose tissue (Aleksiev, 2009; Plush et al., 2016). Similar patterns of RT dynamics were established in a previous study on newborn lambs and kids during the first day after delivery (Aleksiev, 2009). This similarity in RT behavior in the newborn of the two species strongly supports the concept of genetic control of the homeothermy development in newborn (Aleksiev, 2009; Plush et al., 2015). The HR and RR in all lambs and kids observed in this study showed higher values with respect to the reported range in adult sheep and goats (Hemingway and Hemingway, 1966; Miller and West, 1972). It has been stated that younger animals have higher heart rates (Mir et al., 2000) and, owing to a higher surface area, also have higher respiration rates (Mir et al., 2000). Decreases in HR and RR values were found in both species throughout the monitoring period. These changes highlighted the morphofunctional modifications of the cardiovascular and respiratory system, leading the organism to adapt to the extratropical environment with a well-defined homeostatic ability (Piccione et al., 2007). The heart is forced to pump the blood through a vascular system that presents strong elastic, periph-
Table 2. Mean ± standard deviation of body weight (BW), rectal temperature (TR), respiratory rate (RR) and heart rate (HR) values measured in twin and singleton kids at each time points ($T_0$–$T_{30}$) of experimental period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Twin BW (kg)</th>
<th>Singleton BW (kg)</th>
<th>TR (°C)</th>
<th>Twin RR (breaths min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Singleton RR (breaths min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Twin HR (beats min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Singleton HR (beats min$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($n = 8$)</td>
<td>($n = 5$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>($n = 8$)</td>
<td>($n = 5$)</td>
<td>($n = 8$)</td>
<td>($n = 5$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>3.20 ± 0.13$^a$</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.34$^a$</td>
<td>39.9 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>92 ± 2$^a$</td>
<td>91 ± 2$^a$</td>
<td>194 ± 4$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_1$</td>
<td>3.34 ± 0.14$^b$</td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.35$^b$</td>
<td>39.9 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>89 ± 2$^b$</td>
<td>86 ± 2$^b$</td>
<td>191 ± 1$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_2$</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.18$^a$</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.38$^a$</td>
<td>39.8 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>84 ± 3$^a$</td>
<td>86 ± 2$^m$</td>
<td>188 ± 2$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_4$</td>
<td>3.78 ± 0.21$^a$</td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.39$^a$</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.1$^m$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>84 ± 3$^c$</td>
<td>85 ± 4$^d$</td>
<td>186 ± 2$^e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_6$</td>
<td>4.06 ± 0.24$^a$</td>
<td>4.70 ± 0.40$^a$</td>
<td>39.8 ± 0.1$^m$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>82 ± 4$^a$</td>
<td>83 ± 3$^e$</td>
<td>184 ± 3$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_8$</td>
<td>4.35 ± 0.27$^a$</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.42$^a$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>80 ± 4$^d$</td>
<td>82 ± 3$^i$</td>
<td>179 ± 4$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{10}$</td>
<td>4.63 ± 0.30$^a$</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.43$^a$</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^a$</td>
<td>78 ± 3$^d$</td>
<td>80 ± 3$^d$</td>
<td>177 ± 2$^m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{12}$</td>
<td>4.91 ± 0.33$^a$</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.44$^a$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>77 ± 4$^d$</td>
<td>79 ± 4$^h$</td>
<td>172 ± 3$^r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{14}$</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.36$^a$</td>
<td>5.77 ± 0.46$^a$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^i$</td>
<td>75 ± 4$^d$</td>
<td>78 ± 3$^a$</td>
<td>169 ± 2$^m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{16}$</td>
<td>5.48 ± 0.39$^a$</td>
<td>6.04 ± 0.47$^a$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^i$</td>
<td>74 ± 4$^d$</td>
<td>75 ± 3$^f$</td>
<td>167 ± 4$^f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{18}$</td>
<td>5.77 ± 0.42$^a$</td>
<td>6.31 ± 0.48$^a$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^i$</td>
<td>73 ± 4$^o$</td>
<td>74 ± 3$^a$</td>
<td>166 ± 3$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{20}$</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.45$^a$</td>
<td>6.57 ± 0.50$^a$</td>
<td>39.6 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^i$</td>
<td>71 ± 5$^o$</td>
<td>74 ± 3$^d$</td>
<td>163 ± 3$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{22}$</td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.48$^a$</td>
<td>6.84 ± 0.51$^a$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^i$</td>
<td>68 ± 4$^o$</td>
<td>72 ± 3$^o$</td>
<td>161 ± 4$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{24}$</td>
<td>6.62 ± 0.51$^a$</td>
<td>7.11 ± 0.52$^a$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.4 ± 0.1$^i$</td>
<td>67 ± 4$^o$</td>
<td>71 ± 5$^o$</td>
<td>160 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{26}$</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.54$^a$</td>
<td>7.37 ± 0.54$^a$</td>
<td>39.5 ± 0.1$^b$</td>
<td>39.4 ± 0.1$^i$</td>
<td>66 ± 3$^k$</td>
<td>70 ± 4$^l$</td>
<td>157 ± 4$^l$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{28}$</td>
<td>7.18 ± 0.57$^a$</td>
<td>7.64 ± 0.55$^a$</td>
<td>39.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>39.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>64 ± 3$^k$</td>
<td>68 ± 3$^k$</td>
<td>155 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| $T_{30}$| 7.45 ± 0.59$^a$| 7.88 ± 0.54$^a$| 39.4 ± 0.1| 39.3 ± 0.1| 63 ± 2| 67 ± 3| 152 ± 5| 143 ± 4$^k$

Significances (Effect of time $P < 0.0001$): $^a$ vs. $T_1$–$T_{30}$; $^b$ vs. $T_0$–$T_{30}$; $^c$ vs. $T_{10}$–$T_{30}$; $^d$ vs. $T_{14}$–$T_{30}$; $^e$ vs. $T_{18}$–$T_{30}$; $^f$ vs. $T_{22}$–$T_{30}$; $^g$ vs. $T_{26}$–$T_{30}$; $^h$ vs. $T_{28}$–$T_{30}$; $^i$ vs. $T_{30}$; $^j$ vs. $T_2$–$T_{30}$; $^k$ vs. $T_6$–$T_{30}$; $^l$ vs. $T_{12}$–$T_{30}$; $^m$ vs. $T_{16}$–$T_{30}$; $^n$ vs. $T_{20}$–$T_{30}$; $^o$ vs. $T_{24}$–$T_{30}$; $^p$ vs. $T_{28}$–$T_{30}$; $^q$ vs. $T_{30}$; $^r$ vs. $T_{16}$–$T_{30}$; $^s$ vs. $T_{22}$–$T_{30}$; $^t$ vs. $T_{26}$–$T_{30}$; $^u$ vs. $T_{28}$–$T_{30}$; $^v$ vs. $T_{30}$.

30 of life. However, no statistically significant difference in BW values between twin and singleton lambs was observed during the monitoring period, whereas twin kids were statistically lighter than singletons from birth until day 8 of life. This difference could be due to a greater degree of intrauterine growth restriction in twin kids with respect to twin lambs, possibly because of species differences (De Matteo et al., 2008). It was demonstrated that twins are growth restricted in utero compared with singletons because of the reduced placental oxygen and nutrient availability (Aleksiev, 2009). Although an increase in litter size is known to be associated with an increase in placental weight and exchange surface area of cotyledons (Kaufuss et al., 2000), this compensatory mechanism is insufficient to meet all fetal requirements and the surface area of cotyledons for each lamb decrease with increased litter size (Dwyer, 2003). The growth restriction in utero likely to occur in twins (De Matteo et al., 2008) could also explain the statistically significant effect of twinning on HR values observed in kids at birth and at most of the other considered data points. In particular, twin kids showed higher HR values with respect to singletons suggesting an altered nutritional or fluid homeostatic status of twins (De Matteo et al., 2008) that is probably the result of the small size of the heart of twins compared to that of singletons. Effectively, catch-up growth likely to occur in twins during intrauterine life has significant implications for later health, as organs that have terminally developed in proportion to body size in utero...
Figure 1. Mean values of body weight (BW), rectal temperature (RT), heart rate (HR) and respiratory rate (RR) obtained from singleton and twin lambs during the first month of life. Vertical bars denote ±95 % confidence intervals.

Figure 2. Mean values of body weight (BW), rectal temperature (RT), heart rate (HR) and respiratory rate (RR) obtained from singleton and twin kids during the first month of life. Vertical bars denote ±95 % confidence intervals.
may be reduced in size or complexity relative to body size after catch-up growth (Mitchel et al., 2004; De Matteo et al., 2008). The RT values measured in twin kids were statistically higher than in singleton kids during the first week of life. This difference might indicate a different degree of physiological maturation of homoeothermic mechanisms in singleton and twin kids at birth and of their ability to activate heat-producing and/or heat-preserving mechanisms, which ultimately influence body heat (Alekseiv, 2009). Twin kids showed a more marked decrease in RT within 24 h after birth with respect to singletons. This suggests that twins cooled to a greater extent than singletons, which may partly reflect the effect of body weight (Alekseiv, 2009). It has been stated that light newborns have a greater surface area to body mass ratio, which results in an increased body heat loss to the environment (Stafford et al., 2007). The heavier singletons had lower RT values after delivery compared to the lighter twins. It could be assumed that singletons made use of shivering thermogenesis to a lesser extent than the twins with lower birth weight and there may also be insufficient cold stimuli to cause a metabolic overreaction and RT increase.

4 Conclusions

Under the conditions of this study, despite the observed changes, all newborn kids and lambs exhibited good homoeostatic ability and were able to maintain the assessed parameters within the physiological limits. The results obtained in this study make a contribution to the knowledge of homoeostatic, cardiorespiratory and thermoregulatory adaptations occurring both in singleton and in twin lambs and kids during the first 30 days of life. Moreover, our findings indicate that the BW, RT, HR and RR values, whose homoeostasis is still evolving in newborn, should be interpreted dynamically as a function of the period of postnatal adaptation and also of the type of birth.

Further aspects of homoeostatic, cardiorespiratory and thermoregulatory adaptations in a greater number of twin and single-born lambs and kids are worth exploring.
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