Genetic admixture analysis in Prestice Black-Pied pigs
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Abstract. The Prestice Black-Pied pig (PC) is a genetic resource of the Czech Republic. Information about boar variability has been provided in preceding papers. The objective of this work was to compare genetic variability of boars and sows. A total of 21 microsatellite markers were used to study genetic diversity and population structure of 149 PC breeding animals. In this paper, current parameters of assessments of diversity in PC are listed. All loci were polymorphic, and a total of 167 alleles were observed across the studied loci. The range of alleles was found to be 4 to 15 with a mean of 7.95. Overall allele frequency ranged from 0.07 to 0.25. The calculated observed and expected heterozygosity values were 0.70 and 0.73, respectively. The polymorphism information content (PIC) was 0.69 and the overall within-population inbreeding estimate \(F_{IS}\) was 0.04. Genetic differentiation and population subdivision were tested. The level of admixture of breeds used for improvement of the PC breed was evaluated (Landrace, Large White and Pietrain). Five percent of analysed PC breeding boars had more than 20% admixture of other monitored breeds. The obtained results are important for the future sustainability of this local breed.

1 Introduction

Knowledge of molecular structure helps in achieving sustainability of genetic resources. First of all, microsatellite markers are used. The main characteristics of the substantial part of European local pig breeds were summarized by SanCristobal et al. (2006).

The most detailed information is available about the Spanish Iberian pig breed (Martínez et al., 2000; Toro et al., 2002; Fabuel et al., 2004; Alves et al., 2006; Rodrigáñez et al., 2008; Herrero-Medrano et al., 2013). All authors used data on microsatellite markers in order to describe the genetic variability of Iberian pigs.

Because of comparison with our results, we cite the paper of Guastella et al. (2010), who described parameters of diversity obtained by using microsatellites of the Italian Nero Siciliano pig breed. In his work, Ollivier (2009) summarized genetic diversity within and between European pigs.

The papers published by Wang et al. (2011), Berthouly-Salazar et al. (2012), Chen et al. (2012) and Zaman et al. (2013) contributed to the expansion of knowledge of isolated local breeds in Asia.

The first information about microsatellite analysis of the Czech local breed Prestice Black-Pied pig (PC) comes from the PigBioDiv project. In a study about heterozygosity, Megens et al. (2008) reported heterozygosity (Ho) of 0.69 for PC. In other published local and commercial breeds, Ho ranged from 0.51 (Italian Mora Romagnola breed) to 0.74 (Chinese Tibetan/Zang breed).

The PC pig breed has the shortest distance to Angler Sattelschwein in two compiled dendrograms of local breeds (Ollivier, 2007). The French Créole breed and Italian Nero Siciliano breed were assigned to the same branch.

In the second available dendrogram constructed by Megens et al. (2008), 98 lines and pig breeds are represented. Nero Siciliano is the nearest breed to PC. Angler Sattelschwein is closer to the Polish Pulawska breed in this dendrogram, while the French Créole breed is closer to the breeds British Large Black and British Saddleback.

The progress of the PC pig varied according to opinions about its improvement and efforts of traditional breeders aimed at its conservation.

In 1964, PC was officially recognized as a particular breed. The breed was recognized as a genetic resource in 1992.
Since 1996, it has been kept in line with the instructions for genetic resources with controlled breeding work.

During preparations for the declaration of PC as a genetic resource, the technology for detection of microsatellites had come into use globally; however, the technology was not taken into account for PC.

For the first time, after more than 15 years since recognizing PC as a genetic resource, variability in microsatellites was identified, and diversity was evaluated by Vrtková et al. (2013) using contemporary software. The authors provide information on only the variability of boars that were included in reproduction in 2002–2011 in all herds of PC pigs in the Czech Republic.

The objective of this study was to include breeding sows of PC in the analysed set and to assess differences between males and females. In breeding boars a possibility of evaluating the share of breeds used for the improvement of PC pig was tested.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Samples

For the analysis of PC pigs, a total of 149 pigs (89 PC breeding boars and 60 PC breeding sows) were used. These pigs, registered in a herd book of genetic resources, were analysed via the typing of 21 microsatellites.

To evaluate admixtures of other pig breeds in a total of 400 breeding boars from three commercial breeds, Pietrain (PN), Large White (LW), Landrace (LA) and genetic resource PC were sampled, i.e. 100 pigs from each breed, and analysed for 10 microsatellite markers.

DNA for analysis was isolated from blood or hair samples.

2.2 Microsatellite, PCR amplification

A total of 21 microsatellite markers were used for the analysis of PC pigs. For comparison with other breeds, a panel of 10 microsatellite markers was used that is applied in the CZ for origin testing, and therefore a sufficient number of tested pigs was available.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in two multiplex reactions. Nine dinucleotide markers – S0068, S0107, SW24, S0355, S0386, SW353, SW936, S0070, SW72, out of which S0355, S0068, SW24, SW936 and SW72 are recommended by ISAG-FAO for diversity studies in pigs (FAO, 2011) – and one trinucleotide marker, TNFB, were analysed in one multiplex reaction.

The second multiplex reaction contained 11 tetranucleotide markers – 387A12F, S0655, SBH1, SBH2, SBH4, SBH10, SBH13, SBH18, SBH19, SBH20 and SBH22 – included in an AnimalType Pig kit (Biotype Diagnostic GmbH). The AnimalType Pig kit is a PCR test specifically developed for the genotyping of breeding livestock samples for the proof of origin in meat products and generally for quality management in the food industry. The test kit is recommended for the following applications: proof of origin according to the EU directive, kinship testing in the context of control of breeding, and status of inbreeding for herd book populations.

Microsatellite markers were separated by fragment analysis on an ABI PRISM 310 genetic analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Fragment analysis was carried out using GeneScan 3.7 and Genotyper 3.7 software.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The allele frequency, the number of alleles per locus (Na), the mean number of alleles per locus (MNA), polymorphism information content (PIC), observed heterozygosity (Ho) and expected heterozygosity (He) were obtained across different loci using the Excel Microsatellite Toolkit v. 3.1.1. (Park, 2001). Fixation coefficients (FIS, FST) were calculated according to Weir and Cockerham (1984) using Genepop v. 4.1. (Rousset, 2007).

Genetic differentiation and population subdivision were tested using the algorithm of Pritchard et al. (2000) implemented in Structure v. 2.2 software. Individual animals were assigned to two or more subpopulations on the basis of their microsatellite genotypes. The Structure software is able to determine, for each pig, the proportion of genes originating from the “K” potential clusters. The Structure algorithm based on the Markov chain Monte Carlo method (MCMC) was used to define the natural algorithm of the probability that a given genotype belongs to the assumed K clusters. The run length was set to the burn-in period of 105 interactions followed by 105 interactions suggested by Pritchard et al. (2000). The program was tested in the range of possible clusters (K) from 2 to 5.

3 Results

3.1 Genetic structure in Prestice Black-Pied breed

Genetic variability at microsatellite loci

Genetic variability at microsatellite loci in PC pigs, such as allele number, allele size, polymorphic information content (PIC) and intrapopulation fixation index (FIS), is listed in Table 1.

The number of alleles in a microsatellite ranged from 4 (SBH19) to 15 (S0070). Alleles with a frequency lower than 0.005 were detected at loci S0655, SBH2, SBH10, SBH18, SBH20, S0068, SW24, S0386 and S070.

Exclusive alleles for the PC breed were found at loci 387A12F, SBH4 and SBH10 compared to the population study performed by Biotype Diagnostic GmbH on variability of tetranucleotide microsatellites in LW, LA and PN breeds kept in Germany.

The value of observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.39 for marker SW355 to 0.90 for marker S0070. The loci SBH18
Average PIC for 21 loci was 0.68 in boars and 0.37 in sows. Observed heterozygosity was 0.71 in boars and 0.68 in sows. Heterozygosity higher than 0.5 was observed. Average and 0.20, respectively). For all other loci in both subpopulations, heterozygosity higher than 0.5 was identified in boars for markers SBH13, SBH18, SBH20 SBH22, S0355, S0386 and SW353. The differences between boars and sows in this parameter are in markers SBH19, SBH20, S0107, S0386 and SW353. The lowest observed heterozygosity in boars was determined for loci SBH18 and S0355 (0.41 and 0.49, respectively); for sows the lowest was for S0355 and SW353 (0.25 and 0.20, respectively). For all other loci in both subpopulations, heterozygosity higher than 0.5 was observed. Average observed heterozygosity was 0.71 in boars and 0.68 in sows. Average PIC for 21 loci was 0.68 in boars and 0.37 in sows. \( F_{IS} \) in boars was twice as high as sows’ \( F_{IS} \); 0.03 and 0.01, respectively.

The lowest observed heterozygosity in boars was determined for loci SBH18 and S0355 (0.41 and 0.49, respectively); for sows the lowest was for S0355 and SW353 (0.25 and 0.20, respectively). For all other loci in both subpopulations, heterozygosity higher than 0.5 was observed. Average observed heterozygosity was 0.71 in boars and 0.68 in sows. Average PIC for 21 loci was 0.68 in boars and 0.37 in sows. \( F_{IS} \) in boars was twice as high as sows’ \( F_{IS} \); 0.03 and 0.01, respectively.

The \( F_{ST} \) coefficient between boars and sows was 0.04, which may be interpreted that structuring between them is weak.

Despite the low value of \( F_{ST} \), a clustering method shows two different clusters when the common population of boars and sows was clustered. With \( K = 2 \), sows were identified as an independent cluster (see Fig. 1).

### 3.2 Analysis of breeding boars

Breeding boars are considered to be the main factor affecting breed sustainability. The effect of single loci at the breeding of PC boars is shown in Table 3.

Negative \( F_{IS} \) values were observed for 12 markers out of the 21 analysed ones (387A12F, S0655, SBH1, SBH10, SBH13, SBH19, SBH20, S0068, S0386, S070, SW72, TNFB). These negative values indicate the excess of heterozygotes. Higher \( F_{IS} \) values were found for markers S0355 (0.21), SBH18 (0.50) and SW353 (0.12).

### 3.3 Development of heterozygosity of breeding boars over 10 years

In our study, we have estimated variability in a group of breeding boars that were included in reproduction in 2002–2011. Eighty-nine boars were used in reproduction during those 10 years. The mean number of alleles per locus was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loci</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Allele size</th>
<th>PIC</th>
<th>( F_{IS} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>387A12F</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9, 12.1, 13, 14.1, 15</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0655</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10, 12, 13, 14, 15,16</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6, 21, 23, 25, 26, 27</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>55.1, 56, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65.1, 66.1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34, 36, 37, 40, 41, 42, 46, 48, 49, 53</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 22, 23, 24</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10, 11, 14, 15</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBH20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26, 31, 32, 34, 37</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0068</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>217, 225, 231, 237, 241, 243, 245, 247, 249, 251, 255, 257</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0107</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>166, 174, 176, 178, 194, 196, 198, 220</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>93, 95, 99, 101, 105, 107, 109, 113, 117</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0355</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>241, 245, 255, 259, 263</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0386</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>164, 172, 174, 180, 182</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW353</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>138, 140, 144, 148, 150</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW936</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>89, 91, 93, 101, 105, 107, 109</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S0070</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>260, 262, 264, 266, 270, 272, 274, 276, 278, 280, 282, 286, 288, 292, 294</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW72</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>97, 99, 105, 107, 109, 113</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFB</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>156, 159, 162, 168, 171, 180, 183, 186</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Na: number of alleles observed; Allele size: observed in PC breed, with those shown in bold being alleles exclusive for the PC breed compared to the Biotype Diagnostic GmbH population study; PIC: polymorphism information content; \( F_{IS} \): intrapopulation fixation index.

Table 1. Summary statistics for 21 microsatellite loci analysed in Prestice Black Pied pig.

Table 2. Microsatellite diversity in PC breed and comparison between sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>TNA</th>
<th>MNA</th>
<th>MNA SD</th>
<th>He</th>
<th>He SD</th>
<th>Ho</th>
<th>Ho SD</th>
<th>PIC</th>
<th>$F_{IS}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC population</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>7.95</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boars</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sows</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$n$: number of analysed samples; TNA: total number of alleles observed; MNA: mean number of alleles per locus; Ho: observed heterozygosity; He: expected heterozygosity; PIC: polymorphism information content; $F_{IS}$: intrapopulation fixation index; SD: standard deviation.

Figure 1. Graphical representation of the estimated membership fractions of individuals of the sows and boars analysed in each of the $K$ inferred clusters, for $K = 2$ to $K = 3$.

Figure 2. Development of observed heterozygosity in breeding PC boars during 2002–2011.

from 3.90 (2002) to 5.62 (2005). In the last reference year, 2011, this number was 4.00. Observed heterozygosity was highest in 2005 (0.76), and lowest in 2010 (0.59). In 6 years, expected heterozygosity was higher than observed heterozygosity.

The values of observed heterozygosity of boars included in reproduction in 2002–2011 are shown in Fig. 2.

3.4 Assigning PC boars to breed origin by clustering method

We focused on the evaluation of three breeds – LA, LW and PN – which were involved in the improvement of the PC breed according to our information. The number of clusters was from $K = 2$ to $K = 5$. For $K = 2$, LA, LW (green) and PC and PN (red) were distinguished. For $K = 3$, PC and PN (blue) were included in the same cluster. For $K = 4$, PC was characterized as an independent population (yellow) (see Fig. 3).

When we look at the cluster of the PC population, we can see shares of other breeds. The cluster is shown in Fig. 4.

A more detailed analysis of clustering of PC boars provided these results: three of them contain a higher share of other monitored breeds – one boar 39% of LW, one boar 50% of PN, and one boar 29% of PN and 23% of LA. All other PC boars contain smaller shares of monitored breeds, mainly PN and LA. This is consistent with the breeding of PC pigs in the last years.

When the number of clusters assumed was the same as the number of breeds ($K = 4$), the PC breed was very closely
associated with one of the ancestral populations from which it received a contribution to its gene pool. The respective results are summarized in Table 4.

4 Discussion

Development of genetic resources of PC has resulted from both unregulated breeding with Old Czech Bristled pigs and crossbreeding with boars of different breeds from Germany and England. In 1952, Wessex Saddleback pig was used. PC was crossbred with Pietrain in 1966, with Welsh in 1985 and with Landrace in 1986. Genetic variations and heterozygosity were estimated and assignment tests were performed within and between sets of PC breeding boars and breeds used for its improvement (PN, LA, LW). The results of this study confirm that the local breed of PC pig represents a very interesting reservoir of allelic diversity and that there was a small admixture between the four studied breeds.

Martínez et al. (2012) believe that the knowledge of the structure of a livestock population in terms of sources of variability between and within breeds is the most crucial. It is essential for defining conservation priorities and strategies, with the long-term objective of maintaining genetic diversity for future generations. Our information about PC has been the first so far; therefore we consider it to be a substantial contribution in accord with the cited author.
one PC boar contained 25% of LW and 23% of LA), i.e. 3%, it is advisable to restrict their use. Gradually, we can create a more uniform population of PC breed pigs.

Because, even on small pig farms, the rearing of PC breed pigs is beginning to expand to the production of pork, the method could also be used with a certain probability to determine the origin of meat declared as meat from PC animals.
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