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Growth, carcass traits and meat quality of Bronze and White turkeys in Isparta province of Turkey

Summary
In this study; the growth, carcass traits and meat quality were determined in Bronze and White turkeys, in comparison one another in Isparta province of Turkey. Average of body weights of bronze and white turkeys were 7495-4843g and 15844-11797g; average percentages of carcass were 74.0-71.2% and 82.7-81.9%; average percentages of crude protein content of muscle meat of breast, legs were 23.0-26.1%, 19.0-21.2% and 16.5-17.4%, 13.3-14.8% at the age of 18-weeks, respectively. Significant differences were determined (P<0.05) between genotypes of body weights, carcass traits, meat qualities, and also between males and females of genotypes.
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Introduction
The recorded history of the turkey spans about 500 years, turkeys originated in North America, were domesticated in Europe, and are now an important source of food in many parts of the world (BRANT, 1998), and it was suggested that turkey red meat will be alternative to cattle meat in the future (NIXEY, 1986). Turkey has approximately three millions of turkey population and the most of turkeys are American Bronze turkeys. On the other hand, turkey production were limited due to the seasonal raising in Turkey. Because turkey meat consumption were dependent to new year’s day. In this way, turkey meat production were not increasing and developing. Furthermore, there were not the processing units of turkey meat (TURKOGLU et al., 1990, 1991). However, turkey growing and marketing developed during 4-5 years late in Turkey and turkey meat production were tried to increase by the new turkey hybrids. Generally, Bronze turkeys were raised by rural areas and a widespread genotype. At sun rising Bronze turkeys went out from the hen house to the pastures, to the harvested fields and they come back to hen house in the evening. From
June until November Bronze turkeys have been fed by the remaining of corn mix after harvest. They were raised in extensive conditions (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In the late time, the turkey meat demand increased, and consequently, white turkeys have begun to be raised in intensive conditions in Turkey. Because white turkeys have been rapidly growing in short time compared to Bronze turkeys.

For this reason, the purpose of this research was to investigate growth, carcass traits and the nutrient content of meat of Bronze and White turkeys growing up in intensive conditions, and to find out differences between Bronze and White Turkey genotypes.

Material and method
The experiment was conducted with day old chicks of Bronze and White genotypes in the hen house. Each of the genotype group was consisted of 50-80 chicks, and all groups were identified with attaching metal wing numbers without sexing and were weighted by electronic weight tool (± 2g) at the age of 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14, 18 weeks.

The birds were only fed commercial broiler starter ration (granule of 22% protein and 3060kcal/kgME) for the first 4-weeks and broiler grower diet (pellets of 21% protein and 3200kcal/kgME) between 5 and 18 weeks of age. The turkey poult had ad libitum feed and no grazing, no meadow, etc. Feed consume of genotypes were weekly determined. They were kept under intensive conditions and in hen house there were hay litter on the ground and automatic plastic feeder and waterer. Furthermore, poult were placed equal numbers on one meter square in pens in hen house.

All living turkeys were slaughtered at 14 and 18 weeks of age and were cut to determine the part of the carcasses. The carcasses and their parts were weighted without viscera and with skins.

Three birds of each sex and each group were taken to analyse the chemical meat composition at 14 and 18 weeks of age. The results of body composition and protein, fat analyses were shown for the races Bronze and White turkeys. Crude protein and fat analyses of muscular meat were made by Kjeldahl and Soxhlet tool, respectively (JAMES, 1995; ANON, 1993). Bio-statistical analyses were done with MINITAB for WINDOWS (version 10.5) and MstatC programme was used for the test of significance between Bronze and White genotypes, and also male and female groups.

Results
Growth
The means of the body weights up to the age of eighteen weeks are shown in Table 1. The investigation of the data for body weights had shown that there were significant differences between Bronze and White turkey genotypes and between sexes. The average body weights of Bronze (male-female) and White (male-female) turkeys were 5065.0-3799.0 and 10122.0-8330.9 g at 14 weeks and also 7495.0-4843.0 and 15844.0-11797.0 g at 18 weeks, respectively. In this way, the averaged body weights for females of Bronze and White turkeys were statistically (P<0.05) lower than their male’s. On the other hand, the body weights of White turkey’s males and females were statistically (P<0.05) higher than males and females of Bronze turkeys. There were ½ differences between Bronze and White turkeys. It can be said that this was completely happened due to the different genotypes. Furthermore, in the first seven weeks of live
turkeys had a very low intensive growth rate. The highest daily gain of body weight was found at the age of 9-18 weeks. At the age of 18 weeks turkeys had reached almost 80% of the adult weight.

Table 1
Average body weights of Bronze and White turkeys (g) (Durchschnittliches Körpergewicht der bronzefarbenen Puten und der weißen Puten)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, weeks</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Bronze turkey</th>
<th>White turkey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (daily)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>58.2±1.1b</td>
<td>65.0±1.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>58.0±0.1b</td>
<td>64.3±1.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>81.8±1.7b</td>
<td>116.5±2.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>81.7±2.4b</td>
<td>116.2±1.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>290.4±7.8b</td>
<td>362.6±10.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>269.6±10.1b</td>
<td>363.4±8.1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>723.6±24.0c</td>
<td>1160.6±30.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>619.0±24.7d</td>
<td>1063.7±21.4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1399.1±91.6c</td>
<td>2118.5±37.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1071.1±41.0d</td>
<td>1954.0±29.2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2121.7±75.1c</td>
<td>3648.8±65.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1685.0±59.7d</td>
<td>3364.1±54.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3280.0±113.0c</td>
<td>3587.0±105.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2554.3±81.9g</td>
<td>5360.8±82.3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5065.0±142.0c</td>
<td>10122.0±155.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3799.0±11.0d</td>
<td>8330.9±88.6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7495.0±427.0c</td>
<td>15844.0±689.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4843.0±167.0d</td>
<td>11797.0±230.0b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[a,b = \text{Different letters in the same column show significant difference (P<0.05).} \]

Carcass traits
At the age of 14 and 18 weeks the turkeys have been slaughtered and cut. The means of the carcass characteristics were shown in Table 2 and 3.

In this study; it was determined that the average percentage of carcass, breast and legs for males of Bronze-White turkeys were 72.4-81.6 % and 74.0-82.7 %, 25.1-35.0 % and 28.5-39 %, 28.1-27.8 % and 31.3-27.4 % and also 73.5-81.9 % and 71.2-81.9 %, 25.5-36.9 % and 26.3-35.5 %, 26.8-25.7 % and 29.1-27.3 % for females at 14 and 18 weeks, respectively (Table 2).

In this way, White turkeys had the highest relative carcass weight (incl. edible organs) to body weight of live turkeys. However, male White turkeys had significant highest breast and leg percentages. Generally, the breast and legs percentage were statistically (P<0.05) higher in White turkeys than in Bronze turkeys, and also this case were same between males and females of all genotypes, too.

Differences of carcass percentage between males and females in genotypes were not significant both 14 and 18 weeks, but, significant between Bronze and White turkey genotypes, statistically (P<0.05).

It was the highest that the breast muscle percentage of females at 14 weeks and males of White turkeys at 18 weeks and legs muscle percentage of Bronze and White turkeys at 14 weeks and males of Bronze turkeys at 18 weeks.

The average percentages of wings, neck, back of females, heart, liver, gizzard, intestine, head, feed, feather, blood, etc. of Bronze turkeys were higher than White turkeys at 14 and 18 weeks in this study.
The investigation of the data had shown that average carcass weights for males of Bronze and White turkeys at 18 weeks were 5445.0 and 13107.0 g, and also 3548.0 and 9676.0 g for females (Table 3).

Differences of carcass weights were statistically significant (P<0.05) between genotypes and between sexes of Bronze and White turkeys.

In this study, the average weights of breast, legs, wings, neck, back and edible viscera were 1550.0 to 940.5 g, 1705.3 to 1036.5 g, 713.3 to 443.0 g, 474.0 to 318.0 g, 1002.0 to 791.5 g and 368.0 to 299.9 g of Bronze turkeys, and also 5092.0 to 3430.0 g, 3593.0 to 2641.3 g, 1436.7 to 1006.7 g, 959.3 to 574.0 g, 2025.3 to 2024.0 g and 494.6 to 478.1 g of White turkeys at 18 weeks of age, respectively.

Differences of body part weights were statistically significant (P<0.05) between genotypes and between sexes of Bronze and White turkeys.

Nutrient content of meat

In this study, average percentages of crude protein content and crude fat content for breast and legs muscle meats in Bronze and White turkeys at 14 and 18 weeks of age were investigated and the results were showed in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body parts</th>
<th>14 WEEKS</th>
<th>18 WEEKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcass/body</td>
<td>72.4±0.4b</td>
<td>61.6±0.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast/carcass</td>
<td>25.1±0.3c</td>
<td>89.1±0.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legs/carcass</td>
<td>28.1±0.1a</td>
<td>27.8±0.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wings/carcass</td>
<td>15.8±0.3a</td>
<td>12.9±0.3c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck/carcass</td>
<td>8.1±0.1c</td>
<td>5.9±0.1c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back/carcass</td>
<td>22.9±0.3b</td>
<td>18.4±0.3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart/body</td>
<td>0.7±0.01b</td>
<td>0.7±0.02ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver/body</td>
<td>2.4±0.11a</td>
<td>1.85±0.08b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gizzard/body</td>
<td>5.91±0.22a</td>
<td>3.06±0.20b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine/body</td>
<td>5.29±0.17b</td>
<td>4.43±0.15c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head/body</td>
<td>2.07±0.07a</td>
<td>1.45±0.06b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed/body</td>
<td>4.04±0.12a</td>
<td>3.59±0.07b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood, weather and, etc.</td>
<td>11.05±0.45a</td>
<td>5.15±0.27c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**= Different letters in the same column show significant difference (P<0.05). M= male, F= female.
In the table that average percentages of crude protein were 23.0 to 26.1 % and 16.5-17.4 % for males-females of Bronze and White turkeys’s breast muscles meat and also 19.0 to 21.2 % and 13.3 to 14.8 % for legs muscle meat, and the average percentages
of crude fat were 1.04 to 1.46 % and 0.15 to 0.44 % for breast and also 2.10 to 3.58 % and 0.65 to 0.64 % for legs at 18 weeks, respectively.

Statistical analyses generally showed that crude protein content were high in each genotypes breast muscle meat according to legs muscle meat as males and females. However, crude protein content of breast muscle meat and crude fat content of legs muscle meat were lower than Bronze turkeys.

Feed consume

The averages of feed intake, weight gain, feed conversion ratios of Bronze and White turkeys were investigated as weekly and the results were shown in Table 5.

Table 5

Feed intakes, weight gains and feed conversion ratios of Bronze and White turkeys (Futteraufnahme, Gewichtszunahme und Futteraufwand von bronzefarbenen und weißen Puten)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weeks</th>
<th>n*</th>
<th>Bronze</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
<td></td>
<td>g/b/wk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n*, number of animals.
1, feed intake measured as total feed intake of group and expressed as feed intake per bird.
2, weight gain measured as subtracting the weight of birds at the end of the specified week from that of the beginning of week, and expressed as mean of the group.

In the table, average feed intake, weight gain of White turkeys were 9064, 3596.32 g for each bird at 14 weeks and 12660, 4108.08 g at 18 weeks; 3820, 1532.86 g at 14 weeks and 5390, 1783.20 g at 18 weeks for Bronze turkeys, respectively.

The average feed intake, weight gain of White turkeys were higher than Bronze turkeys.

Discussion

Growth

In the literature data of body weights that they averaged 14405 to 15676 g of turkeys at 20 weeks (MEIJERHOF, 1973); 4150 and 3650 g of Beltswille White and Broad Breasted White turkeys at 12 weeks and also 5700 and 5000 g at 16 weeks (GANSEL and NEUBAUER, 1974); 4710 to 5320 g of Beltswille White turkeys at 13 weeks (POPESCU-VIFOR and PUSCATU, 1979); 12300 to 10610 g for males and 7490 to 7910 g for females of White and Bronze turkeys at 25 weeks (MARQUEZ at al., 1983); 7.8-8.2 kg for males and 5.6-6.0 kg for females of Large Breasted Bronze turkeys and 9.8 and 7.2 kg of White Nicholas turkeys at 16 weeks (KOCAK, 1984); 4420.0, 5309.0, 6104.6 g of Betina turkeys at 16, 18, 20 weeks and also 4870.0, 5818.4, 6682.0g of Bronze X Betina turkeys, respectively (TURKOGLU et al., 1990); 10-12 kg for males and 5-7 kg for females of Bronze turkeys at 28 weeks (TURKOGLU et al., 1991); 4324.5-2695.5 g of Bronze turkey for males-females at 18
weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 4416.9, 4799.4, 5547.0 g of Bronze turkeys at 16, 18, 20 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991a); 3225.5-2359.7, 5323.5-3816.7 g of Bronze turkeys for males-females at 14, 18 weeks (TESTIK and CELEN, 1993); 5860.0-5200.0 g of Canadian Hybrid Turkeys at 14 weeks and 7020.0-6025.0 g at 18 weeks (CELEN and TESTIK, 1995); 7 kg of Bronze turkeys (AKSOY and ISCAN, 1995); 10575 and 6877 g for males and females of Bronze turkeys at 24 weeks (AKSOY, 1996); 5170.3-3927.8 g, 7282.7-5022.4 g of Bronze turkeys for males-females at 16, 20 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001); 3348.3-3064.2 g of Bronze turkeys at 16 weeks (SENGUL, 2001).

Generally, the studies related to body weight of Bronze turkeys in literature show between 7-9 and 5-6 kg at 18 weeks of age as males and females, respectively. In this study, the body weight values of Bronze turkeys were similar to Beltsville White and Broad Breasted White turkeys (GANSEL and NEUBAUER, 1974) and to Bronze turkeys (AKSOY and ISCAN, 1995; AKSOY, 1996; MARQUEZ et al., 1983), and higher to Betina and Bronze X Betina turkeys (TURKOGLU et al., 1990) and to Bronze turkeys (TURKOGLU et al., 1991; SARICA et al., 1991a, 1991b; TESTIK and CELEN, 1993; ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001; SENGUL, 2001) and lower to White turkeys (MEIJERHOF, 1973) and to Beltsville White turkeys (POPESTCU-VIFOR and PUSCATU, 1979) and to Bronze and White Nicholas turkeys (KOCAC, 1984) and to Canadian Hybrid turkeys (CELEN and TESTIK, 1995) and to turkeys (WISCHHUSEN, 1975). Differences of body weights between this study and literature data have due to different genotypes and feeding and growing conditions, management, etc.

Carcass traits

In the literature data of carcass percentages that they averaged 81.2 % of Large White turkeys for males at 27 weeks and 79.5 % for females at 24 weeks (MORAN et al., 1970); 73.4 and 72.5 % of Bronze turkeys at 18 and 20 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991a); 73.6 and 73.2 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 80.5-78.8 and 86.7-83.0 % of Bronze turkeys males-females at 14 and 18 weeks (TESTIK and CELEN, 1993); 85.8 and 85.3 % of Buta turkeys at 17 and 19 weeks (ARABA and MIRELES, 1993); 80 % of Bronze turkeys (AKSOY and ISCAN, 1995); 81.3 and 82.8 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 24 weeks (AKSOY, 1996); 70.0 to 71.0 % of Bronze turkeys at 16 weeks (SENGUL, 2001); 77.5 and 77.6 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 28 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In this study, the carcass percentage values were similar to (SALMON, 1974, 1979; SARICA et al., 1991a, b; SENGUL, 2001; ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001), and higher to (MORAN et al., 1970), and lower to (TESTIK and CELEN, 1993; ARABA and MIRELES, 1993; AKSOY and ISCAN, 1995; AKSOY, 1996).

In literature data of breast muscle percentages were 16.7 and 20.3% of turkeys at 16 and 18 weeks (GILLIS et al., 1973); 28.1-29.4 % of Bronze turkey for males-females at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 37.31 and 38.71 % of Large White turkeys for males and females (LESSON and SUMMERS, 1997); 32.1-28.5 % of Bronze turkeys for males-females at 28 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In the study, the breast muscle percentage values were similar to Bronze turkeys (SARICA et al., 1991b) and
to Large White turkeys (LESSON and SUMMERS, 1997) for White turkeys, and lower to Bronze turkeys (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In literature data of legs muscle percentages were 31.1-30.6 % of Bronze turkeys for males-females at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 15.1 and 15.4 % of Large White turkeys for males and females of tight percentages (LESSON and SUMMERS, 1997); 27.9-27.8 % of Bronze turkeys for males-females at 28 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In the study, the legs muscle percentage values were similar to Bronze turkeys (SARICA et al., 1991b) and to Large White turkeys (LESSON and SUMMERS, 1997) for White turkeys, and higher to Bronze turkeys (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001).

Furthermore, researches reported that the average percentage of breast+back were 54.2 and 53.7 % of Middle Heavy White turkeys for males and females at 18 weeks (SALMON, 1979); 44.0 and 44.3 % of Buta turkeys for males(toms) of breast+thigh+wings+drums at 17 and 19 weeks (ARABA and MIRELES, 1993); 52.7 and 49.4 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females of breast+back at 24 weeks (AKSOY, 1996). In the study, the legs muscle percentage values were similar to Middle Heavy White turkeys (SALMON, 1979) and Bronze turkeys (AKSOY, 1996), and higher to Buta turkeys (ARABA and MIRELES, 1993).

On the other hand, in literature, the some informations of turkey body parts was reported by researches that average percentages of wings, neck, back, edible and total viscera.

In literature data, the average percentages of wings were 13.1 and 12.7 % of to Bronze turkeys for males and females at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 10.4 and 10.7 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 24 weeks (AKSOY, 1996); 14.50 and 13.93 % of Large White turkeys for males and females (LESSON and SUMMERS, 1997); 9.9 and 10.9 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 28 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In the study, wings percentage values were similar to (SARICA et al., 1991b; ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001), and higher to (ARABA and MIRELES, 1993; AKSOY, 1996), and lower to LESSON and SUMMERS (1997).

The average percentages of neck were 7.4 % of the Large White turkeys for males at 25 weeks and 6.1 % for females at 24 weeks (MORAN et al., 1970); 2.2 % of turkeys (KOCÁK, 1984); 9.2 and 8.6 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 4.5 and 5.0 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 24 weeks (AKSOY, 1996); 13.4 and 7.6 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 28 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In the study, the neck percentage values were similar to (MORAN et al., 1970; SARICA et al., 1991b; ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001), and higher to (KOCÁK, 1984; AKSOY, 1996).

The average back percentages were 18.4 and 19.2 % for males and females of Bronze turkeys at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 16.7 and 25.2 % for males and females of Bronze turkeys at 28 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001). In the study, the back percentage values were similar to literature data.

The average percentages of edible viscera were 2.2 % of turkeys (KOCÁK, 1984); 3.5 and 2.7 % of Large White turkeys at 12 and 20 weeks (FERKET and SELL, 1989); 5.3 and 5.9 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b); 5.0 and 5.2 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 24 weeks (AKSOY, 1996); 4.0 and 4.6 % of Bronze turkeys for males and females at 28 weeks (ISGUZAR
and TESTIK, 2001), and 7.47 and 8.42 % for total viscera of Large White turkeys’s males and females (LESSON and SUMMERS, 1997). In the study, generally, the edible viscera percentage values were higher than in literature data, and lower for total viscera.

The values of average body parts in this study were similar to in literature data (AKSOY, 1995; ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 1999). These values average carcass weights were similar to Bronze turkeys having 4794.0 to 3965.5 g at 18 weeks (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 1999), and higher to Bronze turkeys having 4324.5 to 2695.5 g at 18 weeks (SARICA et al., 1991b) and to Bronze turkeys having 3348.3 to 3064.2 g at 16 weeks (ŞENGUL, 2001), and lower to Bronze turkey having 8860 to 6190 g and to White turkeys having 9360 to 5740 g at 25 weeks (MARQUEZ et al., 1983).

In literature data, the average weights of breast, legs, wings, neck, back and edible viscera were 1433.0 to 2370 g, 1399.0 to 2065.0 g, 554 to 736 g, 383 to 989 g, 1229 to 1269 g and 381 to 303 g of Bronze turkeys at 18 weeks of age, respectively (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 1999), and 4520 to 2815 g for (breast+back), 2085 to 1311 g for legs, 886.5 to 609 g for neck, 384.5 to 286.0 g for back and 424.0 to 287.5 g for edible viscera of Bronze turkeys at 24 weeks of age (AKSOY, 1995).

#### Nutrient content of meat

The studies related to this subjects in literature data that the average percentages of crude protein and fat were reported by researches of turkeys. These values were 24.5 % for the average percentages of crude protein in breast muscle meat and 20.5 % in legs muscle meat, and 1.3 % for crude fat in breast muscle meat and 4.2 % in legs muscle meat of Large Breasted Bronze turkeys (KOCAK, 1984); 23.1 to 24.1 % for the average percentages of crude protein in the breast muscle meat of males-females and 19.9 to 19.8 % in legs muscle meat; 3.8 to 4.2 % in breast muscle meat and 4.3 to 4.0 % in legs muscle meat for crude fat of Bronze turkeys at 28 weeks, respectively (ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001); 21.0 to 25.0 % for crude protein and 2.6 to 11.0 % for crude fat in muscle meat of Bronze turkeys (TUNCER, 2001). For the comparison of our data with literature, the results were similar to (KOCAK, 1984; ISGUZAR and TESTIK, 2001; TUNCER, 2001;) of Bronze turkeys for crude protein content in breast and legs muscle meat, but, lower in breast muscle meat of Bronze and White turkeys and in legs muscle meat of White turkeys for crude fat contents. Average percentages of crude protein and crude fat content values in White turkeys were lower than the literature data. This differences had due to different genotypes and feeding.

#### Feed consume

In the literature, Beltsville White and Broad Breasted White turkeys had measured food conversion as 2.3 and 2.5 at 12 wk, and with 2.9 and 3.0 at 16 wk, respectively (GANSEL and NEUBAUER, 1974). Feed intake of turkeys was 2.97-3.58 kg/kg body weight and highest profit was obtained by slaughtering at 14 weeks old (KUCKA, 1989), and daily food consumption for turkeys averaged 10.2 to 10.7 g at first 2 weeks; 32.5 to 33.7 g over the 12 wk period and food conversion for turkeys (g food/g gain) 3.00 to 2.89 over the 12-wk period (JAGBIR SINGH et al., 1991), and with consumption varying from 2.77 to 4.38 kg/kg weight gain for turkey (KUCKA, 1991).
In the study, the values of average feed intake and food conversion ratios were similar to those in the literature.

Conclusion
With this study, it was determined that growth, carcass composition and nutrient content of meat of Bronze and White turkeys in comparison at 14 and 18 weeks of age. We saw that White turkeys were very fast growing genotypes and they produced turkey meat much more than Bronze turkeys in the same period. In this way, to increase of turkey meat production under the intensive conditions, there is a need to the fast growing turkey genotypes and to similar hybrid genotypes in Turkey. In this study, White turkeys had seemed to have advantages according to Bronze turkeys for much more turkey meat production in short time.
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